

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,078	11/26/2004	Roland Busses	BIG01 P498	5861
277	7590 08/16/2006		EXAMINER	
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP			MICHENER, JOSHUA J	
695 KENMO	OR, S.E.			9 - PEP > 11 1 / PEP
P O BOX 2567			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RAI	PIDS, MI 49501	3644		
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/16/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/500,078	BUSSES, ROLAND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joshua J. Michener	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE AMONTH!	e) OD TUIDTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	<u>une 2006</u> .					
	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-79</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 29, 38, 39, 49, 58, 59, 69, 78, and 79 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 – 28, 30 – 37, 41 – 48, 50 – 57, 61 – 68, 70 – 77</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	es have been received. Is have been received in Applicati	ion No				
application from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9/06.</li> </ul>	[TT]	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/500,078 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phraseology defining the structural elements is relatively unclear. It appears if Applicant were to replace claim 28 with claim 48 this would alleviate the issue at hand while still encompassing the same scope.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 20, 40, and 60 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20, 27, 40, 47, 60, and 67 of copending Application No. 10/500566 ('566). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 20, 40, and 60 broadly encompass the scope of claims 20, 27, 40, 47, 60, and 67 of copending '566.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,078 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 29, 38, 39, 49, 58, 59, 69, 78, and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 29, 49 and 69 recites the limitation "the gap area" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 38, 58 and 78 recites the limitation "the bridging elements" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 39, 59 and 79 are rejected as being dependent from a rejected base claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 20, 40 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hostetler (US 3,388,690).

Art Unit: 3644

- 12 For claims 20, 40, and 60, Hostetler discloses at least one feed delivery pipe (26) held 8. above a floor of the coop and capable of being raised and lowered, the pipe having at least one aperture, comprising: a bowl device (10) configured to be suspended on the feed delivery pipe, the bowl device including a feed bowl (34) located beneath a downpipe, the bowl device further including a cupola (26) formed from grid bars in spoke fashion, wherein the downpipe comprises an inner cylinder (22) configured to depart from the aperture and an outer cylinder (36) encompassing the inner cylinder, on which the bowl is suspended by the grid bars (figure 4) of the bowl cupola in such a way that, when the feed delivery pipe is lowered, the bowl comes to rest on the floor of the coop, wherein the outer cylinder is guided in a rotatable manner as well as in a raisable and lowerable manner on the inner cylinder, and at least one lifting stop (75) is provided for delimiting a lifting and lowering path of the bowl; wherein the downpipe includes at least one rotational stop (72) delimiting a rotational path of the outer cylinder in relation to the inner cylinder.
- 9. Claims 20, 29, 40, 49, 60, and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cole et al. (US 5,092,274).
- For claims 20, 40, and 60, Cole discloses (see all figures) at least one feed delivery pipe 10. held above a floor of the coop and capable of being raised and lowered, the pipe having at least one aperture, comprising: a bowl device (38) configured to be suspended on the feed delivery pipe, the bowl device including a feed bowl located beneath a downpipe, the bowl device further including a cupola (46) formed from grid bars in spoke fashion, wherein the downpipe comprises an inner cylinder (42) configured to depart from the aperture and an outer cylinder (56) encompassing the inner cylinder, on which the bowl is suspended by the grid bars of the bowl

Application/Control Number: 10/500,078 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

cupola in such a way that, when the feed delivery pipe is lowered, the bowl comes to rest on the floor of the coop, wherein the outer cylinder is guided in a rotatable manner as well as in a raisable and lowerable manner on the inner cylinder, and at least one lifting stop (72) is provided for delimiting a lifting and lowering path of the bowl; wherein the downpipe includes at least one rotational stop (65, 68) delimiting a rotational path of the outer cylinder in relation to the inner cylinder.

11. For claims 29, 49 and 69, as best understood, Cole discloses the device according to claim 20, wherein: an end-side cylinder section of the inner cylinder covers a gap area between the outer cylinder (see for example figures 8 and 11).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claims 21 28, 30 37, 41 48, 50 57, 61 68, 70 77 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 38, 39, 58, 59, 78, and 79 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua J. Michener whose telephone number is 571-272-1467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7-4.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joshua J Michener Examiner Art Unit 3644

jjm